



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/753,867	01/02/2001	Edward C. Guerrero JR.	5500-64900	1985

7590

03/25/2004

Robert C. Kowert  
Conley, Rose & Tayon, P.C.  
P.O. Box 398  
Austin, TX 78767-0398

EXAMINER

DANG, KHANH NMN


ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2111

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/753,867	GUERRERO ET AL. 	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Khanh Dang	2111	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Khanh Dang. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Kowert (Atty. of Record). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 22 March 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Vioegelli et al..

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



Khanh Dang  
Primary Examiner

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Kowert argued that in Voegelli et al., the voltage requests are not distinct. The Examiner disagreed. In Voegelli et al., a module can be operated at a range of voltages while another module can only be operated at a specific voltage. In another word, one device can request any voltage within a range while another module can request only a specific voltage. The requested one voltage within a range of voltages and the requested specific voltage are not necessarily the same. As long as the requested specific voltage from one module falls within the range of voltages operated by another module, the controller will output a voltage supply. .